



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,880	02/11/2004	Allan Madsen	112740-936	6697
7590	09/19/2007			
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC P.O. Box 1135 Chicago, IL 60690-1135			EXAMINER HERRERA, DIEGO D	
			ART UNIT 2617	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/19/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Supplemental Notice of Allowability</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/777,880	MADSEN, ALLAN
	Examiner Diego Herrera	Art Unit 2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to 12/21/2006.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-6.
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 of the:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37.CFR 1.121(d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other _____

DETAILED ACTION***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 1-6 are allowed, however, claims 7-12 were cancelled after applicant elected, without traverse, Group I (1-6) not Group II (7-12) on arguments/remarks 1/26/2006.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As per claims 1-6, the claims are directed to the measurement of time differences in an idle mobile terminal after receiving a set of available cells that have been processed by a predefined selection rule and signal strengths from neighboring cells that meet the predefined threshold through a synchronization channel from a base station that serves the idle mobile. The prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest the method "measuring, at the mobile terminal, received signal strengths of the neighboring cells having identifiers which are included in the first set of cell identifiers, with a number N of cells having a signal strength exceeding a predefined threshold constituting a set of available cells; reading, at the mobile terminal, a synchronization channel for the set of available cells, thereby measuring time differences for the set of available cells; selecting, at the mobile terminal, a second set of cells from the set of available cells using a predefined selection rule, the second set of cells including $M < N$ cells, wherein the predefined selection rule causes a non-selection of a cell having a same cell identity as another cell in the set of available cells if it is probable that the cell which is not selected and the another cell belong to one sectorized base station; and reading, at the mobile terminal, a synchronization channel for the second set of cells,"

thereby measuring time differences for the second set of cells" as written in claim

1.

The prior art of Ville et al. and Padovani et al. do not teach the mobile terminal performing the measurement of time differences for the set of available cells through the synchronization channel. Also the limitation of having the second set of cells including M<N cells, wherein the predefined selection rule causes a non-selection of a cell having a same cell identity as another cell in the set of available cells if it is probable that the cell which is not selected and the another cell belong to one sectorized base station.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferable accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance"

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diego Herrera whose telephone number is (571) 272-0907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Diego Herrera
Patent Examiner



LESTER G. KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER